

SECTION 4 – DATA FORMS

General Overview

The purpose of the Data Forms is to assist each local program evaluating their program needs, performance, and trends.

I. Examples of Children Helped Through CMS

A minimum of five examples of children helped through each of the CCS, CHDP, and HCPCFC programs should be gathered **over the course of the fiscal year**. The examples of children helped should represent a diversity of age, gender, ethnicity, risk factors, disease entity, interventions, and treatments. For children helped through the CCS program, the care coordination activities should reflect those carried out **during the previous fiscal year**.

The actual outcomes of intervention with the child/family assist in showing how the CMS programs serve and benefit the lives of families and children in the community. Elements of a good example of children helped through CMS program reflect claimable administrative case management or care coordination activities. Elements of a good example as applicable by programs, such as:

- Promotion of preventive health services,
- Coordination among agencies and avoidance of duplication,
- Coordination with clinical/community resources,
- Provision of guidance to the family, caregiver, caseworker, health care provider that promotes continuity of care,
- Description of outcomes resulting from interventions,
- Demonstration of use of the Health and Education Passport,
- Demonstration of follow through with the family, caregiver, caseworker, health care provider until resolution, and
- Reflection of the time needed for problem resolution.

II. CCS Caseload Summary

The data collected on this form are used to report the actual CCS caseload and demonstrate trends in the caseload over time. (See 4-7)

III. CHDP Program Case Management Data

The data collected can be used as a work load indicator, to enhance collaboration with the Department of Social Services eligibility workers, to provide feedback to Managed Care Plan Liaisons, and to measure the percent of children getting follow-up care. (See 4-8)

IV. Quarterly Report of Medi-Cal Recipients Requesting CHDP Services (See 10-101)

During the Fiscal Year, a quarterly report will be submitted by the *15th day following the end of each quarter* to the CMS Branch showing the number of CalWORKS and Medi-Cal Only persons requesting CHDP services. This assists the CMS Branch in meeting the California's Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) federal requirement.

V. CHDP First Grade Health Exams by School Year

Health Examinations for school entry data demonstrate trends over time, and can be used to identify areas where increased program emphases are needed to improve health assessment services for children entering school.

****Changes in Legislation** (CHDP Program Letter No.: 05-01)**

AB2855, Chapter 895, Statutes of 2004 included amendments to the Health and Safety (H & S) Code Section 124100. The amended H & S Code no longer require every public school district and private school in California to report data on the number of children receiving health screening examinations at school entry. Therefore, public school districts and private schools are NOT required to submit the CHDP Annual School Report (PM 272) to the CHDP Program within the local health department and there will be no reimbursement provided. Private schools and public school districts may continue to gather and share this information at their discretion.

Local CHDP programs continue to have the responsibility to work collaboratively with schools to inform and empower families about obtaining and utilizing quality health care services. The activities involved in maintaining a liaison with public and private schools will help to support school readiness and ensure healthy children ready to learn.

VI. Additional Data

Additional data are used to evaluate the staffing requirements for the CHDP and HCPCFC programs.

- From the CHDP Reports available online through the **Business Objects Reporting System** (<http://dhsreports.dhs.ca.gov/>). For information on accessing the system refer to CHDP Program Letter No. 03-08.
 - *CHDP Annual Summary of Screens by Funding Source For Fiscal Year*
 - *CHDP Monthly Summary of Screens by Funding Source For Month o XX-200Xf*
 - *CHDP Provider Claims and Amounts Paid by County and Funding Source*
 - *Active CHDP Providers by County and Provider Name*
- From the CMS Branch **Data Analysis, Research, and Evaluation (DARE) Unit:**

- *CHDP Target Population Estimate for Fiscal Year 2004-05* (See 4-9 and 4-10) and 2005-06 (See 4-11 and 4-12)
- From the California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division:

- Monthly reports available online at
<http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/children's 405.htm>

CWS/CMS1 – Child Welfare Services/Case Management System-Foster Care Children by Placement

This report includes information by placement in-county, out-of-county, and out-of-state.

CWS/CMS2 – Child Welfare Services/Case Management System – Characteristics of Children in Out-of-Home Care

This report provides information on the characteristics of the children in out-of-home placement, including age, gender, ethnicity, type of placement home, funding source, agency responsible, number of cases that were terminated and reason for termination.

- Annual Average Out-of-Home Placement Caseload Data (See 4–13 and 4-14)

Examples of Children Helped Through CMS

Using the general instructions and elements of a good example (Section 4 – Page 1) submit a minimum of five examples for each applicable program: CCS, CHDP, or HCPCFC. Please specify the county/city, program name, and fiscal year.

County/City: _____

Program: ☐ CCS ☐ CHDP ☐ HCPCFC **Fiscal Year:** _____

Child (Initials, Age, Ethnicity, Type of Placement) and Health Services Needed:

Intervention and Coordination of Care (Include collaborative efforts made with community partners/resources, etc):

Results that Demonstrate the Outcome or Effect for the Child and Family:

California Children's Services Caseload Summary Instructions

County: _____

Fiscal Year: _____

The purpose of submission of the CCS Caseload Summary is to demonstrate the caseload count changes in the county CCS program during the three previous fiscal years. The CCS Caseload Summary demonstrates CCS county workload activity on all cases, whether determined CCS eligible or not. The CCS Caseload Summary shows program participation (Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal; Non Medi-Cal caseload includes Healthy Families and all other CCS cases) and is defined as the number of all open (active) CCS cases plus the number of potential CCS cases.

Beginning FY 2003-04, the CCS Caseload format (Page 4-7) adds Healthy Families cases along with Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal CCS to appropriately reflect program participation in the caseload. To assist counties in determining caseload using this format, the rows on the CCS Caseload Summary have been labeled using numbers 1 to 11, and the columns have been labeled using letters A to B.

To complete this report, caseload data are collected from the CCS Quarterly Administrative Invoices for each fiscal year to be reported. The four quarters of the fiscal year are totaled and divided by four to gain the yearly average CCS Caseload.

Caseload Determination (for each fiscal year requested)

1. Add the average open (active) caseload number for all quarterly invoices from the previous fiscal year and divide by four.
2. Determine the number of potential cases by:
 - a. An actual count of potential cases assigned a temporary number if the county CCS program is using CMS Net, or
 - b. An actual count of potential cases if the county CCS program has a method for assigning a temporary number when the county is not on CMS Net, or
 - c. An estimate of potential cases may be used based on the county's experience.
3. Medi-Cal

Add the average total open (average) caseload (row 1, column A) to the potential cases (row 2, column A) to get the Total Medi-Cal caseload (row 3, column A).
4. Non Medi-Cal
 - a. Add the average total open (active) caseload (row 4, column A) to the potential cases (row 5, column A) to get the Total Healthy Families caseload (row 6, column A). **NOTE:** Healthy Families data may not be available for some counties for one or more of the requested fiscal years, in which case use zeros.

- b. Add the average total open (active) caseload (row 7, column A) to the potential cases (row 8, column A) to get the Total Straight CCS (row 9, column A).
 - c. Add Total Healthy Families (row 6, column A) to the Straight CCS caseload (row 9, column A) to get the Total Non Medi-Cal caseload (row 10, column A).
- 5. Grand Total

Add Total Medi-Cal (row 3, column A), to Total Non Medi-Cal (row 10, column A), and place the result in row 11, column A.
- 6. Determine the total Medi-Cal and Non Medi-Cal percentage split:
 - a. Medi-Cal: Divide row 3, column A, by the Grand Total in row 11, column A. The resulting percentage is placed in row 3, column B.
 - b. Non Medi-Cal: Divide row 10, column A by the Grand Total in row 11, column A. The resulting percentage is placed in row 10, column B.
 - c. Add the percentages in row 3, column B added to row 10, column B and place the result in row 11, column B (will equal 100 percent).

California Children's Services Caseload Summary Form

County: _____

Fiscal Year: _____

		A	B				
	CCS Caseload 0 to 21 Years	01-02 Actual Caseload	% of Grand Total	02-03 Actual Caseload	% of Grand Total	03-04 Actual Caseload	% of Grand Total
		MEDI-CAL					
1	Average of Total Open (Active) Medi-Cal Children						
2	Potential Case Medi-Cal						
3	TOTAL MEDI-CAL (Row 1 + Row 2)						
		NON MEDI-CAL					
		Healthy Families					
4	Average of Total Open (Active) Healthy Families						
5	Potential Cases Healthy Families						
6	Total Healthy Families (Row 4 + Row 5)						
		Straight CCS					
7	Average of Total Open (Active) Straight CCS Children						
8	Potential Cases Straight CCS Children						
9	Total Straight CCS (Row 7 + Row 8)						
10	TOTAL NON MEDI-CAL (Row 6 + Row 9)						
		GRAND TOTAL					
11	(Row 3 + Row 10)						

CHDP Program Case Management Data

Complete the form using all data currently available. Where data are not available, please attach explanation. If your program collects any other data regarding the numbers and types of contacts made or attempted or other measures of your workload and related outcome data, please feel free to attach this information in whatever format you currently collect it.

County/City:	FY 01-02		FY 02-03		FY 03-04	
A. Total number of CalWORKS/Medi-Cal cases informed and determined eligible by Department of Social Services (Data Source: Department of Social Services).						
B. Total number of cases and recipients in "A" requesting CHDP services. This number should match the annual summary of the Report of Medi-Cal Recipients Requesting CHDP Services and "C" (Data Source: PM 357 or State-approved equivalent form).	Cases	Recipients	Cases	Recipients	Cases	Recipients
1. Number of CalWORKS cases/recipients						
2. Number of Foster Care cases/recipients						
3. Number of Medi-Cal only case/recipients						
C. Total number of EPSDT eligible recipients and unborn, referred by Department of Social Services' workers who requested the following (Data Source: PM 357 or State-approved equivalent form):						
1. Medical and/or dental services						
2. Medical and/or dental services with scheduling and/or transportation						
3. Information only (optional)						
D. Number of persons who were contacted by telephone, home visit, face-to-face, office visit, or written response to outreach letter. A successful contact is defined as a response that is received "face-to-face, ear-to-ear, or pen-to-pen" from the recipient.						
E. 1. Total number of persons actually provided scheduling and/or transportation assistance by program staff.						
2. Total number of persons in "E1" who actually received medical and/or dental services.						
F. 1. Total number of CHDP health assessments (PM 160)* indicating a need for further diagnosis and treatment (Codes 4 or 5 entered on the PM 160). *This excludes Medi-Cal Managed Care Information Only PM 160s.	Medi-Cal	Non-MC	Medi-Cal	Non-MC	Medi-Cal	Non-MC
2. Total number of children in "F1" where the follow-up appointments were kept.						

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2

FY 2004-2005 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

County	Medi-Cal Under 21	Medi-Cal Under 21 Percent	CHDP Gateway Under 19	CHDP Gateway Under 19 Percent	Total Children
ALAMEDA	83,361	65.3%	44,207	34.7%	127,568
ALPINE	134	74.6%	46	25.4%	180
AMADOR	1,493	63.1%	872	36.9%	2,365
BUTTE	22,769	68.4%	10,499	31.6%	33,268
CALAVERAS	2,639	60.1%	1,753	39.9%	4,392
COLUSA	2,325	56.7%	1,775	43.3%	4,100
CONTRA COSTA	46,068	66.1%	23,663	33.9%	69,731
DEL NORTE	3,686	68.1%	1,730	31.9%	5,416
EL DORADO	6,119	52.7%	5,490	47.3%	11,609
FRESNO	138,768	69.4%	61,061	30.6%	199,829
GLENN	3,137	54.0%	2,677	46.0%	5,814
HUMBOLDT	11,405	64.3%	6,342	35.7%	17,747
IMPERIAL	21,301	57.5%	15,738	42.5%	37,039
INYO	1,271	61.1%	810	38.9%	2,081
KERN	95,436	65.1%	51,137	34.9%	146,573
KINGS	15,591	59.7%	10,528	40.3%	26,119
LAKE	6,406	63.3%	3,711	36.7%	10,117
LASSEN	2,309	61.0%	1,478	39.0%	3,787
LOS ANGELES	1,197,294	68.7%	546,073	31.3%	1,743,367
MADERA	17,793	66.3%	9,057	33.7%	26,850
MARIN	5,421	55.8%	4,293	44.2%	9,714
MARIPOSA	1,113	58.2%	801	41.8%	1,914
MENDOCINO	9,122	66.1%	4,688	33.9%	13,810
MERCED	36,321	66.7%	18,146	33.3%	54,467
MODOC	1,094	66.0%	563	34.0%	1,657
MONO	580	55.2%	471	44.8%	1,051
MONTEREY	37,964	59.7%	25,649	40.3%	63,613
NAPA	5,395	55.8%	4,266	44.2%	9,661
NEVADA	3,461	51.3%	3,280	48.7%	6,741
ORANGE	173,241	57.8%	126,558	42.2%	299,799
PLACER	8,121	53.2%	7,131	46.8%	15,252
PLUMAS	1,268	64.3%	703	35.7%	1,971
RIVERSIDE	138,559	58.5%	98,346	41.5%	236,905
SACRAMENTO	136,220	70.7%	56,471	29.3%	192,691
SAN BENITO	3,357	56.1%	2,624	43.9%	5,981
SAN BERNARDINO	191,254	61.8%	118,255	38.2%	309,509
SAN DIEGO	173,494	53.9%	148,580	46.1%	322,074
SAN FRANCISCO	37,883	64.5%	20,850	35.5%	58,733
SAN JOAQUIN	67,351	67.0%	33,236	33.0%	100,587
SAN LUIS OBISPO	12,420	55.6%	9,924	44.4%	22,344
SAN MATEO	23,813	57.2%	17,812	42.8%	41,625
SANTA BARBARA	30,685	60.9%	19,711	39.1%	50,396
SANTA CLARA	80,397	64.1%	44,983	35.9%	125,380
SANTA CRUZ	14,512	58.7%	10,203	41.3%	24,715
SHASTA	16,408	64.1%	9,199	35.9%	25,607
SIERRA	204	64.4%	113	35.6%	317
SISKIYOU	4,390	65.5%	2,315	34.5%	6,705
SOLANO	23,947	60.6%	15,568	39.4%	39,515

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2.2
FY 2004-2005 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

County	Medi-Cal Under 21	Medi-Cal Under 21 Percent	CHDP Gateway Under 19	CHDP Gateway Under 19 Percent	Total Children
SONOMA	19,437	56.3%	15,105	43.7%	34,542
STANISLAUS	54,277	63.7%	30,911	36.3%	85,188
SUTTER	8,320	62.5%	4,995	37.5%	13,315
TEHAMA	6,607	66.3%	3,362	33.7%	9,969
TRINITY	1,069	61.9%	657	38.1%	1,726
TULARE	68,068	68.1%	31,833	31.9%	99,901
TUOLUMNE	3,122	61.7%	1,936	38.3%	5,058
VENTURA	47,828	62.0%	29,334	38.0%	77,162
YOLO	13,462	63.0%	7,908	37.0%	21,370
YUBA	9,501	62.6%	5,669	37.4%	15,170
CITY OF BERKELEY	6,266	65.3%	3,323	34.7%	9,589
CITY OF LONG BEACH	61,551	68.7%	28,073	31.3%	89,624
CITY OF PASADENA	18,197	68.7%	8,299	31.3%	26,496
TOTAL	3,235,005	64.6%	1,774,791	35.4%	5,009,796

Definitions

Columns 1 and 2: Medi-Cal refers to number of children and the percent of children, up to 21 years of age, who are enrolled in the Medi-Cal Program and have an assigned Medi-Cal aid code.

Columns 3 and 4: CHDP Gateway refers to the number of children and percent of children who are under 19 years of age and in low-income families who are presumptively eligible for Medi-Cal through CHDP Gateway pre-enrollment.

Data Sources and Notes for Medi-Cal Target Population

Medi-Cal target population derived from Medical Care Statistics, Department of Health Services, www.dhs.ca.gov/fidmb/mcss/PublishedReports/annual/annual.htm, Calendar year 2001; Table 17, Medi-Cal Program Persons Certified Eligible by

Medi-Cal Funded Births by Beneficiary County:
(www.dhs.ca.gov/mcss/PublishedReports/publicat.htm)
Medi-Cal Funded Deliveries, Calendar year 2001, Table 12

Data Sources and Notes for CHDP Gateway Target Population

State funded target population: Finance Dept., Demographic information, data file (www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/DEMOGRAP/data.htm), 2003.txt and select age under 19 years

Poverty Level between 100-200 percent values from the Census 2000.

The numbers derived from population estimates for cities of Berkeley, Pasadena and Long Beach located: http://www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/FS_DATA/STAT-ABS/Tec.xls.htm Population Table B-4 (2003). The percentage for estimation of target population for the three cities are: 0.0699 for Berkeley (Alameda County: 0.9301), 0.0482 for Long Beach and 0.0142 for Pasadena City (Los Angeles County: 0.9376).

Prepared by Helen Zheng

1/28/2004

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2005-2006 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE

County	Medi-Cal Under 21	Medi-Cal Percent	CHDP Gateway Under 19	CHDP Gateway Percent	Total Children
ALAMEDA	88,741	69.8%	38,407	30.2%	127,148
ALPINE	130	74.3%	45	25.7%	175
AMADOR	1,508	60.3%	994	39.7%	2,502
BUTTE	22,944	71.0%	9,371	29.0%	32,315
CALAVERAS	2,535	61.7%	1,572	38.3%	4,107
COLUSA	2,300	60.0%	1,532	40.0%	3,832
CONTRA COSTA	48,984	65.1%	26,303	34.9%	75,287
DEL NORTE	3,698	72.5%	1,399	27.5%	5,097
EL DORADO	6,496	55.2%	5,271	44.8%	11,767
FRESNO	142,831	71.1%	57,939	28.9%	200,770
GLENN	3,384	61.0%	2,164	39.0%	5,548
HUMBOLDT	11,537	65.8%	5,991	34.2%	17,528
IMPERIAL	22,089	63.5%	12,701	36.5%	34,790
INYO	1,282	64.2%	715	35.8%	1,997
KERN	100,827	67.3%	49,020	32.7%	149,847
KINGS	16,469	61.8%	10,166	38.2%	26,635
LAKE	6,414	64.1%	3,595	35.9%	10,009
LASSEN	2,326	64.4%	1,284	35.6%	3,610
LOS ANGELES	1,231,212	70.9%	504,751	29.1%	1,735,963
MADERA	19,368	69.0%	8,709	31.0%	28,077
MARIN	6,253	60.3%	4,120	39.7%	10,373
MARIPOSA	1,192	61.7%	739	38.3%	1,931
MENDOCINO	9,988	70.1%	4,269	29.9%	14,257
MERCED	40,686	68.7%	18,578	31.3%	59,264
MODOC	1,041	68.8%	473	31.2%	1,514
MONO	562	49.0%	584	51.0%	1,146
MONTEREY	39,342	62.6%	23,518	37.4%	62,860
NAPA	5,922	58.0%	4,289	42.0%	10,211
NEVADA	3,555	53.6%	3,076	46.4%	6,631
ORANGE	187,902	61.4%	118,372	38.6%	306,274
PLACER	9,364	54.8%	7,726	45.2%	17,090
PLUMAS	1,096	60.7%	710	39.3%	1,806
RIVERSIDE	151,788	60.0%	101,200	40.0%	252,988
SACRAMENTO	138,655	70.1%	59,008	29.9%	197,663
SAN BENITO	3,786	57.1%	2,841	42.9%	6,627
SAN BERNARDINO	201,701	64.0%	113,280	36.0%	314,981
SAN DIEGO	179,141	60.0%	119,221	40.0%	298,362
SAN FRANCISCO	38,919	71.6%	15,466	28.4%	54,385
SAN JOAQUIN	71,302	66.5%	35,912	33.5%	107,214
SAN LUIS OBISPO	13,164	61.4%	8,291	38.6%	21,455
SAN MATEO	27,282	65.2%	14,538	34.8%	41,820
SANTA BARBARA	32,930	65.8%	17,119	34.2%	50,049

**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
CHILDREN MEDICAL SERVICES
CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM
TABLE 2-2
FY 2005-2006 TARGET POPULATION ESTIMATE**

County	Medi-Cal Under 21	Medi-Cal Percent	CHDP Gateway Under 19	CHDP Gateway Percent	Total Children
SANTA CLARA	93,243	70.4%	39,221	29.6%	132,464
SANTA CRUZ	16,139	64.9%	8,718	35.1%	24,857
SHASTA	16,157	66.7%	8,068	33.3%	24,225
SIERRA	212	62.8%	125	37.2%	337
SISKIYOU	4,402	67.9%	2,078	32.1%	6,480
SOLANO	26,269	64.4%	14,548	35.6%	40,817
SONOMA	22,277	61.2%	14,110	38.8%	36,387
STANISLAUS	58,523	65.9%	30,275	34.1%	88,798
SUTTER	8,741	64.0%	4,913	36.0%	13,654
TEHAMA	6,618	68.0%	3,118	32.0%	9,736
TRINITY	1,067	63.4%	617	36.6%	1,684
TULARE	71,949	69.7%	31,262	30.3%	103,211
TUOLUMNE	3,175	63.1%	1,854	36.9%	5,029
VENTURA	50,886	63.7%	29,058	36.3%	79,944
YOLO	13,718	61.3%	8,665	38.7%	22,383
YUBA	9,256	64.2%	5,158	35.8%	14,414
CITY OF BERKELEY	6,641	69.8%	2,874	30.2%	9,515
CITY OF LONG BEACH	63,316	70.9%	25,957	29.1%	89,273
CITY OF PASADENA	18,718	70.9%	7,674	29.1%	26,392
TOTAL	3,391,953	67.2%	1,653,552	32.8%	5,045,505

**Definitions and
Data Sources:**

Columns 1 and 2: Number and percent of Medi-Cal certified eligible children under 21 years
Data Source: Medi-Cal target population derived from Medical Care Statistics, Department of Health
Calendar year 2003: Table 17: Medi-Cal Program Persons Certified Eligible by County, Sex, and Age

Medi-Cal Funded Births by Beneficiary County:
Data Source: Medi-Cal target population derived from Medical Care Statistics, Department of Health
Table 10: Deliveries to Medi-Cal Beneficiaries by Beneficiary County and Category, Calendar Year

**Data Source and Notes
for CHDP Gateway
Target Population**

CHDP Gateway Target Population:
Finance Dept., Demographic information, data file 2005 age under 19, updated May 2004.
Poverty level between 100-200 percent values from the Census 2000.

The Number Derived from population estimates for cities of Berkeley, Pasadena and
Long Beach are from Department of Finance, California Statistical Abstract 2004,
Table B-4: Total Population of California Cities, January 1, 2004.

Prepared by Helen Zheng 4/5/2005

HPCFC AVERAGE ANNUAL CASELOAD*

FISCAL YEAR 2005 - 2006
BY COUNTY/CITY PROGRAM IN DESCENDING ORDER

County/City Program	1.03 - 12.03 Caseload (See Notes)	County/City Program	1.03 - 12.03 Caseload (See Notes)
Los Angeles	30,783	Santa Barbara	433
San Bernardino	8,337	Yuba	414
Riverside	7,270	Santa Cruz	387
San Diego	6,753	El Dorado	373
Sacramento	5,892	Sutter	331
Alameda	4,676	Calaveras	321
Orange	4,430	Humboldt	309
Fresno	3,604	Napa	305
Kern	3,253	Tehama	297
Contra Costa	2,995	Marin	271
Santa Clara	2,663	Lake	240
San Francisco	2,504	Nevada	218
San Joaquin	2,397	Tuolumne	178
Tulare	1,570	San Benito	174
Stanislaus	1,358	Siskiyou	170
Solano	1,350	Del Norte	138
Long Beach	1,243	Glenn	132
Butte	987	Berkeley	129
Shasta	896	Lassen	111
Merced	864	Mariposa	79
Ventura	856	Plumas	76
Sonoma	814	Modoc	64
San Mateo	781	Trinity	58
Placer	649	Amador	56
Yolo	581	Colusa	38
Monterey	556	Inyo	29
San Luis Obispo	501	Alpine	10
Pasadena	492	Mono	9
Mendocino	475	Sierra	6
Madera	470		
Imperial	466	Totals	105,801
Kings	456		

Notes on Caseload Data Sources:

The Annual Average Out-of-Home Placement Caseload Data for the HPCFC are from Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) reports prepared by the California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division.

*Total Children in Supervised Out-of-Home Placements by Placement, January 2003 through December 2003,
http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/Children`s_405.htm

Total Foster Care Children by County Placement Home, Foster Care Children Placed in the County by Other
Counties, January 2003 through December 2003, CWS/CMS Extract - # 04013, Data Analysis and Publications,
Children's Team

Foster Care Children by Placement Home Zip Codes, Annual Report for January through December 2003,
CWS/CMS Extract - # 04012, Data Analysis and Publications, Children's Team